1	agreement	s that, that last over time, the good, the good name
2	of the co	mpany, and also the potential ongoing business.
3	Q	So would it be fair to say that if Britt Business
4	Systems d	id a volume in 1991 of 1.2 million and in 1992 of 1.4
5	million,	its overall value to, let's say to a potential
6	purchaser	of the business, would be more based on the 1992
7	volume?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Would Is it Mr. Johnson, your sales rep?
10	A	Um-hum, yes.
11	Ω	Would his leaving Britt Business Systems have any
12	effect up	on the value of the business?
13	A	I can't necessarily say because, because right now
14	we are hi	ring more sales people, so there could be better
15	sales peo	ple.
16	Q	Okay. Thank you.
17		MR. KOERNER: I don't think I have anything further,
18	Your Hono:	r.
19		JUDGE MILLER: Redirect, Mr. Alpert?
20		MR. ALPERT: I have a couple of questions, Your
21	Honor.	
22		JUDGE MILLER: All right.
23		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24		BY MR. ALPERT:
25	Q	Ms. Davis, there was some testimony about a Xerox

1	contract that had been placed before you for you Do you
2	recall that testimony?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Approximately what percentage of your business is
5	under the contract with Xerox, 100 percent being all the
6	business of Britt Business Systems?
7	A I'd say about 80, 85 percent.
8	Q Okay. And what percentage of the business is done
9	underneath the contract with Panasonic that was also shown to
10	you I believe as Ringer Exhibit Number 5?
11	A I'd say about five percent.
12	Q About five percent?
13	A Um-hum.
14	Q And what is attributed the other 15 to 20 percent?
15	JUDGE MILLER: Ten to fifteen.
16	BY MR. ALPERT:
17	Q Ten to fifteen percent?
18	A Other supplies. We do different supply items, such
19	as laser remanufactured cartridges, different ribbons, fax
20	paper for all brands of fax machines, fax supplies for all
21	plain paper fax supplies, surge protectors, a lot of little
22	accessories and so forth.
23	Q Are those pursuant to a contract?
24	A No.
25	Q And is business for those items transferrable?

1	A	Yes. It's just volume based.
2	Q	Now, just to clarify the record, you mentioned a
3	portion of	f the company of Britt Business Systems which
4	operates	out of Cleveland. Is that correct?
5	A	Right.
6	Q	Can you Is that Who runs that portion of the
7	company?	
8	A	Ben Davis.
9	Q	Is that still a portion of your company?
10	A	No, it's not.
11	Q	And when did that occur?
12	A	Back in last of March, first of April.
13	Q	Of what year?
14	A	1993. Ben incorporated himself. Well, we separated.
15	Q	Okay. So it's a separate corporation now?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	So you said that the \$1.4 million in gross revenues
18	were bigge	er that were adopted by or attributable to
19	Britt Bus:	iness Systems
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Something less than that figure would presumable be
22	attributal	ble in the future by virtue of that separation?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And
25		JUDGE MILLER: Wait a minute.

1	WITNESS: Well, I hope not.
2	JUDGE MILLER: Wait a minute. Just a minute.
3	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	JUDGE MILLER: I don't care if Ben Davis
5	incorporates himself or not. He's still working for you,
6	isn't he?
7	WITNESS: No, sir.
8	JUDGE MILLER: He is not part of Britt Business
9	Systems?
10	WITNESS: No. Ben, Ben managed the Cleveland office
11	and he has incorporated Britt Business Systems of Cleveland.
12	We're totally separate entities.
13	MR. ALPERT: Your Honor
14	JUDGE MILLER: Did you allow him to use the name
15	Britt Business Systems?
16	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	JUDGE MILLER: He asked you for permission to, to,
18	to do that?
19	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	JUDGE MILLER: But up until he incorporated, that
21	office in Cleveland was yours, wasn't it?
22	WITNESS: Yes. He managed it, yes.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Yeah. And it's still yours, isn't
24	it?
25	WITNESS: No, sir.

1	JUDGE MILLER: Did he buy it from you?
2	WITNESS: No. We separated. We separated legally
3	and accounting-wise. We separated. And whatever assets or
4	whatever he had, they were moved over to him and separated.
5	JUDGE MILLER: Well, let's just you and I assume for
6	a minute that 80 percent of Britt's business was Columbus and
7	20 percent of it was Cleveland at one time. Now, just assume
8	that.
9	WITNESS: Okay.
10	JUDGE MILLER: But at that time, at that time when
11	he was just managing it for you, all 100 percent belonged to
12	Shellee Davis?
13	WITNESS: Yes.
14	JUDGE MILLER: Now, you're separated. Are you
15	telling me that as a result of the separation Shellee Davis
16	has now lost 20 percent of her net worth?
17	WITNESS: No, sir. We, we operated as separate
18	profit centers. Ben just operated Britt out of Cleveland. I
19	had the headquarters. We were two separate profit centers.
20	He had He, he could carry whatever line of product that he
21	wanted to carry in addition to Xerox. I could do the same in
22	Columbus. He, he does not have a Panasonic territory up there
23	and we operated as two separate companies, and that's why we
24	decided to separate, so he could just do his own thing.
25	JUDGE MILLER: What's he brought to the business

1	outside o	f separating and taking 20 percent of your take?
2	That's who	at's got me.
3		MR. ALPERT: Your Honor, can I ask one question?
4	And I thi	nk this might clarify it.
5		BY MR. ALPERT:
6	Q	Who, who What happened to the profits that would
7	be genera	ted out of the Cleveland office prior to the
8	separation	n?
9	A	It would go to Ben.
10	Q	Entirely to Ben?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And they did not go at all to you?
13	A	We did not share in profits.
14	Q	And, of course, now that the separation has
15	occurred,	there is still no sharing of profits. Is that
16	correct?	
17	A	Right. Everything's the same.
18		JUDGE MILLER: Then why in heaven's name did you
19	ever add	them together to get the 1.4 million? You were
20	separate,	you've always been separate, haven't you?
21		WITNESS: That's a whole accounting thing. I'd have
22	to refer	to my accountants and my lawyers for that.
23		JUDGE MILLER: All right. All right, go ahead.
24	Have you	completed your redirect, Mr. Alpert?
25		MR. ALPERT: I think I have. Just give me one more

1	second, Your Honor. I have nothing.
2	JUDGE MILLER: Recross, Mr. Kravetz?
3	MR. KRAVETZ: Your Honor, two questions arose in the
4	course of other people's cross examination which I believe
5	left a hole in the record and may I request
6	JUDGE MILLER: All right, you can
7	RECROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. KRAVETZ:
9	Q Ms. Davis, you testified that you got your equipment
10	costs from WBBY in the arrangement with Carl Fry, and you also
11	testified that your sales figures were all your own, when we
12	were talking about the development of your budget at the end
13	of December. Is that
14	A No, that doesn't sound quite right, as I
15	understanding you're saying it.
16	Q Well, let me try again. Do you recall being asked
17	about the basis of your the figures for your budget at the
18	end of December?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And do you recall testifying that the costs of your
21	equipment were determined from Carl Fry, the letter from Carl
22	Fry?
23	A I recall saying that all that all the technical
24	equipment, that cost came via Cavell.
25	Q Right.

1	A Via Dan. And that for the leasing of the, the power
2	and the transmitter site and the studio came from the Carl Fry
3	letter, the \$6,000. And some of some or perhaps all of the
4	equipment, all the studio-type programming equipment.
5	Q And you developed certain sales figures yourself.
6	Do you remember
7	A Yes.
8	MR. ALPERT: Objection, Your Honor. Insofar as
9	sales figures, there are no sales figures. They're already
10	contained in the budget.
11	MR. KRAVETZ: Well, if Your Honor
12	WITNESS: The sales reps, the employees.
13	BY MR. KRAVETZ:
14	Q I have no problem with Mr. Alpert's description. My
15	question is there are a lot of other costs that go into the
16	operation of the station, utilities, insurances, and license
17	fees.
18	A Um-hum.
19	Q Now, weren't those in fact taken from a model budget
20	that Mr. Alpert sent you?
21	A As far No. The license fees and everything?
22	Q Didn't he send you a model budget which included
23	those figures?
24	A Not for the license and all that. No, it would be
25	equipment, the technical equipment. Emergency power units and

1	the directional antennas and all that, that's that model that
2	I received. Unless I'm not understanding you right.
3	MR. KRAVETZ: Okay, I have no further questions,
4	Your Honor.
5	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Mr. Belendiuk?
6	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes.
7	RECROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. BELENDIUK:
9	Q Ms. Davis, I'm trying to understand your
10	relationship with Ben Davis. He was your partner in Britt
11	Business Systems, was he not?
12	A He was not a stockholder or an officer in Britt
13	Business Systems. He ran the Columbus or the Cleveland
14	office.
15	JUDGE MILLER: But he was your employee, was he not?
16	You see, you keep saying he's not he wasn't part of the
17	Britt organization, then you turn around and say he ran the
18	Cleveland office. He has to be your employee.
19	WITNESS: Okay. Okay.
20	JUDGE MILLER: No, no.
21	WITNESS: Yes.
22	JUDGE MILLER: Now, don't, don't cave in to me. I
23	mean
24	WITNESS: I'm sorry. Okay, yes, he was, but he
25	wasn't a partner as

1	JUDGE MILLER: Okay, he wasn't a partner, but he, he
2	was a, he was an employee, a high level employee but an
3	employee?
4	WITNESS: Yes. But he was paid out of the Cleveland
5	office.
6	JUDGE MILLER: The Cleveland office, and that's
7	where he had to get his, his salary?
8	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
9	JUDGE MILLER: But that money that he was getting
10	for salary was your money, wasn't it?
11	WITNESS: It was money that he generated within the
12	Cleveland office.
13	JUDGE MILLER: I admit he generated it, but he
14	generated it by selling inventory, and that inventory was your
15	inventory he was selling, wasn't it?
16	WITNESS: Ben could order equipment and he would pay
17	for it or I could sell him equipment and he would pay me for
18	it, but I did not buy equipment for him. Do you understand?
19	JUDGE MILLER: Well, I'm getting, I'm getting the
20	strange feeling that maybe you really didn't have anything to
21	do with Cleveland except the you could make stuff available
22	to, to, to one another. Is that a fair statement? Really,
23	you're Columbus and that's all you are. You're not Cleveland.
24	WITNESS: Right.
25	JUDGE MILLER: Except for accounting purposes,

1	counting up the money at the end of a year, and you said the
2	1.4 million included Cleveland.
3	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
4	JUDGE MILLER: And you're sure of that?
5	WITNESS: I'm pretty sure, but I'll double check
6	with my accountant. My expertise in business isn't the
7	numbers, it's the marketing and the customer service. And so
8	that's why I rely on the resources of my accountants for that
9	kind of stuff.
10	JUDGE MILLER: I understand. Mr. Belendiuk.
11	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes.
12	BY MR. BELENDIUK:
13	Q Would you take a look at your exhibits, Exhibit 1,
14	Attachment B? It's called It's an article from Black
15	Enterprise, May 1991. Do you have that in front of you,
16	Ms. Davis?
17	A Yes, I do.
18	Q All right. Now, I'll tell you where I want you to
19	look. On the left-hand column one paragraph up from the
20	bottom, it starts "Six months after launching her venture."
21	It says there that you became partners with your brother-in-
22	law Ben Davis, does it not?
23	A Yes, it does.
24	Q And that's not a true statement?
25	A No, it's not a true statement.

1	Q Okay. Now, the other question there, it says that
2	"The former Xerox account executive who is Britt's vice
3	president." Okay. Now, is that also not a true statement?
4	A That's not a true statement.
5	Q That's not a true statement?
6	A Uh-uh.
7	Q Okay. Now I'd like you to take a look at Now,
8	were you interviewed for this article?
9	A Yes, I was.
10	Q All right. Now, I'd like you to take a look at
11	Attachment E, and the first page is their Small Business
12	Awards 1991.
13	A Um-hum.
14	Q All right. And on the next page, Shellee F. Davis,
15	do you see that?
16	A Um-hum.
17	Q Are you with me? Were you interviewed for this
18	article?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q All right. Now, in the very last paragraph on that
21	page it says, "Her partner decided she didn't want to stay, as
22	she wanted to stay in the interior design business. So six
23	months after launching her company, Davis became partners with
24	her brother-in-law Ben Davis, a former Xerox account
25	executive."

	334		
1	A Um-hum.		
2	Q Is that not a true statement?		
3	A Legally, Ben Davis is not my partner and he does not		
4	have any shares of stock in my company.		
5	Q Well, did you tell this newspaper that he was your		
6	partner?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q Did you tell the newspaper that he was vice		
9	president?		
10	MR. ALPERT: Objection, Your Honor.		
11	JUDGE MILLER: Oh, no. Overruled.		
12	WITNESS: I might have at that time because Ben and		
13	I were going over whether he should become vice president or		
14	that he shouldn't, whether we should go in partnership. I		
15	told him if he becomes vice president then he's going to have		
16	to give up on some money for it, and we did not come to a		
17	conclusion on him playing any financial part within Britt to		
18	become a partner other than the fact that he runs the		
19	Cleveland office and that's a separate profit center up there		
20	and pays his own expenses. And he did not become vice		
21	president because we did not go into that arrangement where he		
22	had financial input into the Columbus business.		
23	BY MR. BELENDIUK:		
24	Q Is Ben Davis also Britt's general manager?		
25	A I mean, Ben Davis could call himself whatever he		

1	likes up in Cleveland. There's no legal	
2	Q What did you call him?	
3		
4	- And, Mr. Belendiuk, this may not be why	
5	Q Ms. Davis, you're not answering my question. Did	
6	you call Mr. Davis Britt's general manager? Did you refer to	
7	him as that?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q You did?	
10	A At some point I'm sure I did, Mr. Belendiuk.	
11	Q And you referred to him as vice president at some	
12	point?	
13	A I really don't know if I referred to him as that. I	
14	might have.	
15	Q Well, you made that statement, didn't you?	
16	A I know. I might have. But I know that we did not	
17	consummate him as the VP of Britt Business Systems.	
18	Q Let me ask you, and I'm still confused. It says you	
19	originally had a partner in Britt Business Systems. Is that	
20	correct?	
21	JUDGE MILLER: Which, which one of these are we	
22	looking at?	
23	MR. BELENDIUK: Right now we're not looking at any,	
24	but I can point her to one or two.	
25	JUDGE MILLER: Well, I, I wanted to look at one.	

1	MR. BELENDIUK: Sure. Your Honor, the two that I'm
2	referring to is Exhibit 1, Attachment B, and I, I pointed to
3	the witness to next to the last paragraph from the bottom. It
4	says "Six months after launching her venture." Do you see
5	that? I can show it to you if you like.
6	JUDGE MILLER: Well, I'm, I'm interested in
7	Attachment E, which, which has basically some of the same
8	information you're talking about.
9	MR. BELENDIUK: Yeah, but one is a vice president
10	and one is referred to as general manager and vice president.
11	I'm sorry.
12	JUDGE MILLER: Ms. Davis, turn, turn if you will to,
13	to Attachment E of, of Exhibit 1.
14	WITNESS: Yes, I have it.
15	JUDGE MILLER: And, and here's an article about
16	Shellee Davis by Ann Marie Geddes.
17	WITNESS: Yes.
18	JUDGE MILLER: Who you know very well, don't you?
19	WITNESS: No. She's a reporter.
20	JUDGE MILLER: She's interviewed you on a couple
21	occasions, hasn't she?
22	WITNESS: Twice. Or once.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Twice?
24	WITNESS: No, once. No, twice, twice. I think we
25	met twice.

1	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Now, looking at the	
2	second column of the article, "Her partner decided she wanted	
3	to stay in the interior design business. So six months after	
4	launching her company, Davis became partners with her brother-	
5	in-law Ben F. Davis, a former Xerox account executive." Is	
6	that information that you gave to Ann Marie Geddes?	
7	WITNESS: Yes.	
8	JUDGE MILLER: Then we see the paragraph, "Ben Davis	
9	is Britt's general manager and has opened the company's	
10	Cleveland branch office."	
11	WITNESS: Yes.	
12	JUDGE MILLER: You gave her that information?	
13	WITNESS: Yes.	
14	JUDGE MILLER: Now, to a, a layman or someone	
15	without a deeper understanding of accounting, it sounds like	
16	the Cleveland branch office belongs to Britt Business Systems,	
17	doesn't it?	
18	WITNESS: Um-hum.	
19	JUDGE MILLER: And if it belongs to Britt Business	
20	Systems, you're the 100 percent owner of Britt Business	
21	Systems. Isn't that correct?	
22	WITNESS: Yes, sir.	
23	JUDGE MILLER: Now it says, "The firm has six	
24	employees in Columbus and three in Cleveland." Is that the	
25	nine people you've been talking about?	

1	WITNESS: No, sir. That's at the Columbus office	
2	I've talked about, as far as today.	
3	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Now, this article Ann	
4	Marie L. Geddes Well, that was after interviewing you.	
5	WITNESS: Um-hum.	
6	JUDGE MILLER: When was that, do you know?	
7	WITNESS: I think this was back in 1991, in probably	
8	August, September. Or maybe July or maybe July.	
9	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Belendiuk.	
10	MR. BELENDIUK: Your Honor, I believe I have no more	
11	questions.	
12	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Mr. Yelverton?	
13	MR. YELVERTON: No questions, Your Honor.	
14	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Koerner?	
15	MR. KOERNER: No, Your Honor.	
16	JUDGE MILLER: Shellee Davis, you are excused.	
17	Thank you for testifying.	
18	WITNESS: All right. Thank you.	
19	JUDGE MILLER: Now, does that complete the	
20	presentation of your witness, Mr. Alpert?	
21	MR. ALPERT: Yes, it does, Your Honor.	
22	JUDGE MILLER: And do you rest your case?	
23	MR. ALPERT: I do indeed.	
24	JUDGE MILLER: All right.	
25	MR. BELENDIUK: Your Honor.	

JUDGE MILLER: Yes, Mr. Belendiuk? 1 2 MR. BELENDIUK: All right, I have the copies of the exhibits. If I can, if I can -- I've already given them to 3 4 the court reporter. 5 JUDGE MILLER: Okay. MR. BELENDIUK: I'm going to hand you --6 7 JUDGE MILLER: All right. Everything now is 8 completed of the, the -- your cases. At least we've finished 9 one direct cases. Of the five remaining applicants, it's my 10 turn to do some work, and I'll first read to you from 1.263A 11 of the Commission's rules. I'll read a portion of it. 12 presiding officer may direct any party other than the 13 Commission counsel to file proposed findings of fact and 14 conclusions, briefs, or memorandum of law. Such proposed 15 findings of fact, conclusions, briefs, and memorandum of law 16 shall be filed within 20 days after the record is closed, 17 unless additional time is allowed." 18 I now so direct you to file proposed findings of 19 fact and conclusions of law. I'm going to give you more than 20 20 days, which is something -- that rule is -- that portion of 21 the rule has always been honored more in the speech than in 22 its observance. And I'll tell you -- I'll give you some dates 23 in a minute. Now, let me read 47 CFR 1.263C to you. 24 absence of a showing of good cause, therefore, the failure to 25 file proposed findings of fact, conclusions, briefs, or

1 memorandum of law when directed to do so may be deemed a waiver of the right to participate further in the proceeding." 2 3 Now, that spells out the consequences of your failure to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of 4 law, the ones I just directed you to file. I, I, I've been 5 using this standard instruction and I once read it and a 6 lawyer jumped up and said do you mean that if I don't file 7 findings you're going to dismiss my application? And I said 8 9 that's right. And guess what happened? Six -- Three months 10 later the only person not to file --With those guidelines, the following phase -- phase 11 12 1 procedural dates will govern. Any motions to correct the 13 phase 1 transcript will -- Any motions to correct the 14 transcript, if any, will be filed on or before October 8th, 15 1993. Proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law will be filed on or before October 25th, 1993. The applied 17 findings, if any, will be filed on or before November 4th, 18 In the unlikely event you decide to pass on the phase 1 19 reply findings, please send a letter, send me a letter to that 20 effect with copies to all the parties, so they won't be 21 waiting with bated breaths for your replies. 22 Will someone -- Mr. Belendiuk, will, will you notify 23 Mr. Shook of these procedural dates? 24 MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, I will. I'll give him a call 25 Monday morning.

1	JUDGE MILLER: Okay. He's got a little doo-dad that
2	he goes through on the, on the engineering. So he has to file
3	some findings. Now, are those procedures clear,
4	Mr. Belendiuk?
5	MR. BELENDIUK: Yes, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Koerner?
7	MR. KOERNER: Yes, Your Honor.
8	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Kravetz?
9	MR. KRAVETZ: Yes, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?
11	MR. ALPERT: Yes, Your Honor.
12	JUDGE MILLER: And Mr. Yelverton?
13	MR. YELVERTON: Yes, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE MILLER: Is there anything further we need to
15	take up, Mr. Belendiuk?
16	MR. BELENDIUK: No, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Koerner?
18	MR. KOERNER: No, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Kravetz?
20	MR. KRAVETZ: No, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?
22	MR. ALPERT: No, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Yelverton?
24	MR. YELVERTON: No, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE MILLER: Now, because of the six pendings to

```
enlarge, we cannot close the evidentiary record today.
1
   I rule on those six enlargement requests, I'll either schedule
2
   a phase 2 hearing or if I should deny all the enlargement
3
   request I'll close the evidentiary record and the phase 1
4
   evidence will form the basis for the initial decision. So we
5
    stand adjourned until further orders.
6
              (Whereupon, at 4:45 p.m., the hearing was
7
8
    adjourned.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Applications of David Ringer, ASF Broadcasting Corp.,

Name Wilburn In 93-107	ndustries, Inc., Shelle F. Davis, Ohio Radio Associates
Docket No.	
Washington, D.C.	·
Place	
August 31, 1993 Date	
	med, do hereby certify that the foregoing
true, accurate a reporting by the above identiprovisions of the professional ver work and have vecomparing the tyrecording accompaning proofed types.	130 through 449, inclusive, are the and complete transcript prepared from the Barbara Lord in attendance at fied proceeding, in accordance with applicable current Federal Communications Commission's batim reporting and transcription Statement of crified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) pewritten transcript against the reporting or clished at the proceeding and (2) comparing the pewritten transcript against the reporting or clished at the proceeding.
9-9-93	Law Dessaran
Date	Lou Deosaran , Transcriber
	Free State Reporting, Inc.
Sept. 13, 1993	Chaire a licaled
Date	Diane S. Windell , Proofreader Free State Reporting, Inc.
Sept. 13, 1993	Han I Tord
Date	Barbara J. Lord , Reporter Free State Reporting, Inc.